

rehear it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And in that instance were that to happen, a person would have to go through an additional layer of appeal that does not currently exist, is that true?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: That would be an extremely rare situation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Can you answer the question...oh, that is okay because my time...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, yes, I can.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is running out and I think you want to stall me. Here is what I am saying, if you did wind up getting to the Supreme Court, you would have to go through an extra layer of appeal processing that would require additional time, additional money, and I think it is unjustified under the circumstances.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Abboud, further discussion? Senator McFarland, additional discussion? Senator Ashford, thank you. Senator Baack, discussion on the amendments?

SENATOR BAACK: Mr. Speaker, I would yield my time to Senator Chambers.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you very much, Senator Baack. Members of the Legislature, the reason I am doing this is because we need a record of what we are doing and what was before us at the time because this is something that is going to come back and haunt members of this Legislature. And I want the record to show that the only one who was willing to try to contend with this and get matters in the record was myself, and that is not unusual because other than those who have gone to law school, we don't have people who are conversant with the issues. So it was clear and it was expected there would not be much opposition, because people are going to be carried along with the idea that if the Supreme Court wants it, it ought to be given to the Supreme Court. But let's envision a situation where an overabundance of cases as the courts see it would confront them should there be an additional serious problem in the