

fact, any self-propelled vehicle not on rails or water. So any self-propelled vehicle, these riding lawn mowers that they use on golf courses or that people use in their yard are vehicles, the snowmobile, the tractor, the combine, all of those things. If somebody owns a car lot, it is his or her own lot. The public, obviously, has access to it, and if he or she has had a hard day and sits in there and drinks on his or her own property, you don't have to be driving the car, you would be in physical control of the vehicle.

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then you are subject to this law. You can be convicted under this law of drunk driving sitting in a vehicle on your own lot if you sell new cars or used cars, or in your automobile showroom. That is open to the public. Vehicles are driven into and out of that facility. For the sake of Omaha, it might be tempting to pass a bill like this which is a very, very poor piece of drafting and a very poor public policy. Omaha needs to learn how to deal with its problems and it should not distort the law that applies throughout the state in order to touch Omaha because, in fact, it does apply to farmland. If you have a road and you allow people to drive through it, the public has access, so if you were sitting on your tractor driving down that road,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and you drive carelessly, which means you endanger a piece of your own property, you come under this law and can be convicted and made to take the alcohol test.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hall, further discussion, followed by Senator Schmit.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. President, and members, again, I raise the same issue with regard to the inability of the committee amendments, and I clearly do not blame committee members or counsel because I think they have done as good a job as you can with legislation of this type that tries to broaden a law as the green copy of the bill originally did. And I think if you were going to try to narrow it down, the committee amendments are the best that, as Senator Lamb responded, they could possibly do, but it does, I think without having any kind of special background, allow the interpretation to include the things that