

January 8, 1990

power. We, as a Legislature, if we can persuade, individuals within the Legislature can persuade 29 others to vote to override a veto, then 30 votes can override the Governor's action. It seems to me to be very unfair to have certain bills because they are passed on a certain day be vetoed and yet come back and we can override that veto because we have time. But yet just as meritorious a bills get passed on the last day, or the last...the next to the last day, or so on, and because of the time limitations the Governor gets to veto them and they're not considered for overrides. That doesn't seem to me to be a very effective way to govern, because the Governor certainly has his or her prerogatives to veto measures. That's a given fact. That's one of the checks and balances that is built into our system. The inequity occurs when just because of the timing of the passage of certain bills some bills we can override, some bills we cannot override, regardless of which policy merits are associated with the bills. There are certainly some bills that were vetoed last session. One that I had, I know several others...other senators have worked very hard to pass and they got passed late in the session, the veto comes, no chance to override. Whereas other bills that are passed a few days earlier or a week or so earlier, we were able to override. It just seems to me to be consistent, to be fair that we should implement a rule like this whereby all bills that are vetoed could be considered by the Legislature for an override vote. And then we could decide which ones we want to override, which ones we do not want to override. But at least those bills should have consideration. As Senator Haberman said, when a bill passes with 40 or 43 votes, it's outrageous that the Governor would be able to veto a bill with that kind of support in the Legislature, and just because of procedural aspects the bill does not become law because the Legislature doesn't have a chance to override the veto. So I would urge that we put in consideration a presumption that we would have a four or five day waiting period, that all bills that are vetoed would come back to the Legislature, and that we could consider them at that time. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The gentleman from the 43rd Legislative District, Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, I would just repeat what I said the other day is that with this rule change we are locking ourselves into an inflexible position. We can already do what this rule calls out. Anyone on this floor can stand up