

January 4, 1990

over to the Governor and let the Governor take the flack. By passing this resolution...this rule change we're not going to be able to do that anymore. We're going to come back in and we are going to be the ones that have to put the final balancing act together, and it's going to be tough for us to do that. But as people that believe in the state, I think we ought to have that alternative. Now when this goes into effect I don't know who is going to be Governor, and I don't say that from a partisan point of view one iota. Jim McFarland might be Governor, we may be hamstringing Jim McFarland by doing...taking this particular action. I don't really care about the specific bills that are vetoed, or the specific person that is in the office. From an institutional perspective I think we, as people that are elected, tell our constituents we want to go down to Lincoln because we think, once you put us in that mix of 49 people, we're going to get some problems solved in this state. And we believe that when we come down here. And I think we ought to continue to believe that, that we ought to have the final say, as the Constitution gives us, in considering whether or not a Governor's veto ought to be overridden, I think we ought to have that final say, and I think by passing this rule we will be saying we want to have that. So you ought not vote for this rule change simply because you agree...disagree with a particular bill that was vetoed, if you want to get revenge for a particular measure of yours that was not passed...was not approved by the Governor, that's not good reason for making this particular change. The reason you ought to accept this is because institutionally it gives us an ability to...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...exercise our options to a much greater extent and, if we believe in ourselves as a body that we ought to be taking those options. So I'd suggest you approve this.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, I was not going to speak again on this. But I do appreciate Senator Warner's words. He has some very good points. I, too, did not look at this necessarily. Of course, we were all disappointed in some of the vetoes and some I would not have supported. And I don't look at this as probably a political issue at this time, because there are other governors coming. I just said that we had not had this trouble, or I hadn't, until the last session. Now I guess that we could