

January 4, 1990

with you on that. I mean I will give you some time, if you'd like to argue with me on that. (Laughter.)

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Smith, this body has the right to...somebody can stand up on the floor, say I move we adjourn and come back five days later. We can do that on the 89th day, and there is no...we don't need the rule because we can do it right now. Senator Smith can stand up on the floor and say, I move that we adjourn and come back (interruption)...

SENATOR SMITH: Let the Governor do whatever they're going to do, and then we'll come back and we'll undo what the Governor did, is that what...I can say that on the floor and we could do that, if I could get enough support. It would depend upon which party was in power then at that time, wouldn't it? Or if there were enough people who were supportive of what it was I wanted to try to make sure I got passed. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator McFarland, please.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Lamb raises a good point, and I think...I assume he's absolutely correct. Theoretically, someone in our legislative body could stand up and say, on the 89th day, I move we adjourn for five days and then come back the last day. The problem with that is that it is very difficult to get that kind of thing achieved because many of the members of our body have made other plans to leave on the 90th day. By the end of the session people are tired, they have other obligations, and so as a result it becomes very difficult to get a majority of the legislative body to approve such an adjournment motion. The presumption, under the present system, is that in the long session, the 90-day session, you meet the 89th day, the 90th day follows on the next day. Same way with the 60-day session, the 59th day, the 60th day follows. What this rule change would do would be to change that presumption, because then with this rule change the presumption would be that you're going to wait the extra five days, that you're going to consider the overrides and you're going to be able to consider some of the bills that have been vetoed that may have been passed overwhelmingly, may have, in fact, been passed unanimously. And you will restore the responsibility for the final action on these bills back to the Legislature where I believe it belongs. I am making copies of a list of bills that were vetoed last year. And I was just going over them. I don't have an entire list, but there was some excellent legislation