

January 4, 1990

talked about this before. I think the current practice has worked very well, with the possible exception of this last session. There seemed to be more problems with it this last session than at previous times, at least in my memory. It seemed also that many of the problems that evolved surrounded financial matters, or the fiscal matters. Our biennial budget was set for '89-91, and in a short session, such as we're faced with today, I don't think that that is going to be a major item. We'll be faced with some supplemental appropriations, deficit appropriations, but nothing of any major consequence. So this, in my opinion, would be perhaps something we should consider more appropriately during a long session as opposed to a short session. Speaking in terms of budgets overrides, again, nothing that we would be considering during that five-day period would change in terms of the economic forecasting. The board would not be able to meet. We would be looking at the same numbers, at the end of five days, that we would be looking at otherwise. The decisions that the Legislature would make following the recess would be based on the same fiscal information, and probably wouldn't really hold much water. Another thought that did occur to me, and for those of us who have to consider the dollars and cents, and who among us does not, a reminder that the Legislature is not paid per diems for recess days. A minor point, perhaps, but those that are non...those members that are outside of Lincoln are not paid additional expenses. Those of you that are noncommuting, it does not affect, perhaps, as much as it would some of the rest of us. Also, if a per diem is paid for recess days, an income tax advantage to some of us, senators would not be able to deduct those per diems on their federal income tax returns, I don't believe. Again, some minor points, but I bring them to your attention as you consider what ramifications this change really might bring about. I'm reasonable comfortable. I think that governors have been very cooperative in the past, all governors that I have worked with. And where an arrangement has been reached, I think, the agreements have pretty much held up. I don't think it's necessary at this particular time, but I see no problem with discussing it, Senator Wesely. Perhaps it's most appropriate. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR LAMB: Chair recognizes Senator Nelson, followed by Senators Chambers and Warner.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, this is the first time I've spoke on a rules change. This particular