

January 4, 1990

was the old standard. And, consequently, if you had a section of statute that dealt with very radically different propositions but somehow by Revisor of the Statute's standards got lumped together into the same statute, then an amendment was germane, even if it was a radically different subject; that this language was inserted to prohibit that from happening, indicating that there had to be some logical sequence to the subject matter of the original proposal, some logical sort of rationale for including it in the same bill beyond the fact that it happened to be in the same portion of the statutes. At least that was what we were told yesterday was the genesis of that language. I am going to support Senator Warner's amendment because I am sensing from discussion on the floor that there are some people that have a high degree of discomfort with changing our germaneness standard. There are others of us who have a high degree of discomfort with retaining the current interpretation of the germaneness rule, feel that it ties our hands too much. By the current committee amendment saying that a germane amendment only has to meet one standard, it either has to relate to the specifics or be in a natural and logical sequence, if you feel like that opens it up too much, and making that amendment meet both of these standards, both relating to details and being in logical sequence, if you feel more comfortable with that, I have no problems with that. As I indicated, what I see as the offending language in our current germaneness proposal in that portion that needs to be addressed is this language about accomplishing substantially different purposes than that in the original bill to which it is proposed. Again, I suppose you could say that an amendment amending a one-cent sales tax increase to a half-cent increase, because that is a \$50 million difference, that is pretty substantial, and that wouldn't even be germane in that case, and I think you might even be able to make that argument. I think removing this last language is what allows us to give us a little bit more interpretation into our germaneness rule and give us more tools to deal with the problems that the people are bringing to us here in the Legislature. I think that is what really needs to be done, and I will support the Warner amendment if that brings more strength to the rest of the proposition. So I will be voting yes on the Warner amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Moore, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker, and members, I agree with Senator Warner, this amendment would make this not quite as liberal an