

January 4, 1990

simple 25 votes, such as a suspension of the rule, in order to expand the scope of that particular piece of legislation. And it seems to me that they have two criteria which, in effect, this will do with the words "natural and logical sequence" not being tied to anything other than the original bill, which as we all know can be significantly different than the...after a committee has amended it than what the bill is, would be very difficult to uniformly apply and interpret both for the Chair and for those of us on the floor. So I am suggesting the word "and" be remain so there is a single criteria for all of us, both for whoever is in the Chair and those of us on the floor, to make decisions on whether or not we wish to question germaneness on a particular amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. I will go back to the head of the...Senator Lynch, did you wish to talk about the Warner amendment?

SENATOR LYNCH: Since my light is on, Mr. President, if I could, I would comment on it then if I am in order.

PRESIDENT: Pardon me?

SENATOR LYNCH: If I am in order, my light was on and I would...

PRESIDENT: Yes, you are in order. Your light was on.

SENATOR LYNCH: I would simply like to stand in support of what Senator Warner suggests.

PRESIDENT: (Gavel) Please, let's hold it down so we can hear the speakers. Thank you.

SENATOR LYNCH: Yeah, we, in attempting to put in the language we had that Senator Warner suggests we strike, we simply tried to put into layman's language an explanation of this rule so that we all understand and interpret it, and I think what he suggests changes what could be construed as a liberal rule to an obviously conservative rule, and, therefore, I assume will garnish the support of many of the floor, and I support it.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Chambers, please, did you wish to speak about the Warner amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Delighted, Mr. Chairman, and members of the