

January 4, 1990

make sure that you have counted, and if you are serious and interested in that legislation, that you have got those 25 votes here, and the people that support it know the difference as well. So in a circumstance like that described by Senator Chambers, that also is true. I would like to suggest, though, that on too many occasions when we try to streamline the rules to, in fact, make it fair for all of us, and there is no real obvious indication that it is important to continue debate, especially when a vast majority of people who have stayed and listened to that debate on the floor think that there is no need to do that, that they should be given that opportunity, and that we should not use just the excuse to delay what will ultimately be a final decision anyhow with a vote to have a call of the house. So five and under seemed to be, if there were, say, 30, 40 people on the floor, fair. There could be 10 or 15 on the floor, but on the other hand, you still have the option, that person still has the option with this rule to have a call of the house if they would want it. Hopefully, this rule would encourage them not to do that and take any more time than necessary.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, thank you, Senator Lynch, I will not expound, I don't have much time, but I have to agree with Senator Chambers on this issue. I don't really like number 7, and I thought I would ask you that question to see if there was any real rationale behind how you happened to pick number five. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Mr. Clerk, you have an amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend the committee amendment by after the word "there" in the new language strike "are more than five nay votes", and insert "is at least one nay vote."

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Legislature, I wish I could say this is an amendment that makes a bad situation less bad, but what we are doing is so bad that nothing can make it better. It would be like that little squat noisome beast that was described in the Senate many, many years ago by one senator referring to one of his colleagues whose name was Stephen A. Douglas. He didn't go this far but everybody knew