

January 4, 1990

If you don't want to go that route, then you will have what Senator Lynch and the Rules Committee is trying to do and that is that if...even if a change has been made or a vote has already taken place on part of the divided question, the person may withdraw the rest of it at any time. But at least we will have a clear, distinct change...vote on which way we would like to go because I think Senator Withem is correct, we need to go one way or the other. But having two different rulings allowing us to do two different things will only cause confusion and a lot of bitterness when things get really tough later on in the session. And I don't know if that amendment is ready to go yet or not but...Dick tells me it is. Thank you, Mr. President.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Yes, Mr. President, Senator Bernard-Stevens would move to amend the Rules Committee amendment. (The Bernard-Stevens amendment appears on page 149 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Senator Bernard-Stevens, on the amendment.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Again, this amendment is simply an amendment that will give the body a clear choice on going one way or the other. And, again, I don't really care what the body wishes to do. The wisdom of the body will make that decision. I simply want to make sure you have a clear choice in front of you going one way or the other. Again, what happened last session, to review the senators briefly, is we had at one point a divided question, in fact, Senator Withem's question. Senator Withem's most important part was the first section that was divisible and when that one failed he wanted to withdraw the rest of them to save the body time, and admirably so. Senator Chambers challenged that. And, if I remember correctly, the senator said, we have already acted on part of that, you cannot now withdraw that, it's as if we change an amendment, you cannot withdraw that amendment, because it's a part of the whole. The Chair ruled in favor of Senator Chambers and then we went through each part until we disposed of that and it did not take very long. Later on in the session, Senator Lindsay had an amendment before us that was also divided. We had also made changes on the beginning portions of that amendment that had been divided. Senator Lindsay then attempted to withdraw the rest of the amendment and the Chair allowed him to do so, which was in direct conflict with the precedent that we set earlier, saying that you are not allowed to withdraw if changes have already been made or a vote has already occurred on part of the