

January 4, 1990

So we try to leave the flexibility in there. Senator Lynch may want to add to that.

SENATOR LYNCH: There...the report to the Clerk and the body could indicate, for example, Senator Hartnett, if there were 10 study resolutions, that all 10 were reported so that the body could be informed about all 10, but five were important that you wanted, in fact, interim studies on those five.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Okay.

SENATOR LYNCH: So by identifying those five as the highest prioritized study resolutions, there would be, in fact, then, based on the recommendation from that committee, interim studies on those.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Fine. Okay. Because as the discussion went on, I thought that's the way. I guess I was confused but this helps it that it's the committee that makes the decision on the ones that they're going to really look at that particular summer, whether it's five or two or three, it's up to the committee on the interim studies. Yeah. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Lynch, did you wish to close on this?

SENATOR LYNCH: No, sir, I would just move for adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of number four. All those in favor vote aye, opposed no. Record, please.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of amendment number four.

PRESIDENT: Amendment number four is adopted. Amendment number five.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President and members, on your worksheet again, identified as number five, this rule...recommended rule change would suggest that components of a divided question will be treated as separate and distinct propositions. This will clarify that members may withdraw a portion of a divided question which has not been acted upon. Obviously, once it's acted upon, it cannot be withdrawn. This is a procedural change