

January 4, 1990

reconsidered because it was an important issue. And 30 members of the body decided, in fact, it may have been more than 30, but at least 30 members voted to bring the bill back or to bring the bill back alive in this particular case, to bring a portion of it back alive, but yet only 25 members voted for that bill on Final Reading. And I guess my point is that members of the body are usually very concerned when another member comes with a reconsideration and says it's very important, here is the reasons why I want this reconsidered. It is important and I know it needs 30. Would you give me a chance to be heard once again? And if the body wants it to be heard again, there usually is not a difficult time in getting the 30 votes if it's important for the reconsideration, though many of the same people that vote for the reconsideration will not vote for the passage of that amendment or bill when it comes to a vote again, but they do think it's important enough to talk about. It really is a simple rules change but it is substantive, I will admit. If it's an important enough issue that takes 30 votes, it should also be important...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...enough to take 30 votes to reconsider and that was the intent. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Chambers, please, followed by Senator McFarland.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Bernard-Stevens just one or two questions. Senator Bernard-Stevens,...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would you be in favor of a rule that stated if a bill carries the emergency clause, it would require the same number of votes to advance it from General and Select as it will take to pass the bill with the emergency clause?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I don't believe at this time I would, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why not?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I guess I...you know, we're getting