

January 4, 1990

considered. In these two cases with reconsiderations that involve 30 votes in the final analysis, the argument is simply, as long as it involves 30 votes in the final analysis in both of these two cases, why not just provide that you have to get the 30 votes to indicate that there is the interest there to, in fact, reconsider and change the decision made previously by the body.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, Senator Lynch, I didn't necessarily mean just on this amendment, I meant a dissertation on all of the rules.

SENATOR LYNCH: Oh, okay, fine, I'll do a better job.

SENATOR HABERMAN: As you see, I don't really know, does one member...can one member propose a rule change in the...it be brought before the committee? Or does it take three or four members to do it? Just exactly how is it that when we came up here this morning, for the first time we saw all of these rule changes, how does...can you take a minute and tell us how this all happens?

SENATOR LYNCH: Yesterday afternoon at two o'clock Rules Committee met and we discussed and it was, in fact, I think Mr. O'Donnell mentioned that the Rules Committee would be meeting and reminded the body that it would be so that should any of you have an interest in proposed or suggested rules changes that you know about, that we don't know about, or you may know about that somebody else knows about, et cetera, we can share and discuss those. Everything that we presented to you this morning was typed out and presented to you at the same time I received it. Laurie stayed here until about seven-thirty, or so, last night compiling the record for your consideration this morning. It was an open meeting. On some of these rules changes, there was, in fact, and has been held other public hearings as well. So we simply are there to listen to all of you and, in fact, to others regarding how the process works, how efficiently it works, how fair it is and how it can be, if at all, changed and improved. We're reluctant to recommend. I think this Rules Committee and all the Rules Committees in the past have been reluctant to make any changes that could interfere, overwhelmingly interfere, obviously, with the process and the rights that the body should have and, in that capacity, we consider seriously all of the recommendations.