

January 4, 1990

same supermajority to undo that action. And I think that's a subtlety that maybe a lot of people don't quite understand with this but that's what your Rules Committee is saying, if it took a supermajority to override a veto, then if you don't like the fact that we overrode that veto, the burden of getting a supermajority ought to be on you to bring about the reconsideration of that, the same thing with the rule suspension. I think it's a fair, simple thing to do. I understand what Senator Chambers is saying. I understand what other people say that think that a simple majority ought to be able to undo the actions of a supermajority. If you feel that way, that's fine, you ought to vote against this rule change. I think it's fair though to say that a supermajority is needed to undo the action of a body that took a supermajority to bring that about. I urge you to support the rule change.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Haberman, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, Senator Lynch, would you...

PRESIDENT: Senator Lynch, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...I would like to ask questions or comments to you. Would you consider when you tell us about a rule to give us the rationale behind the rule change, such as Senator Withem just gave us a little dissertation as to what the rule change really does, why it's being proposed? And he did recall to my memory things that I had, quite frankly, forgotten. So could you or would you, when you present these rules to us, give us the rationale of the committee as to why they're proposing a rule change?

SENATOR LYNCH: Senator Haberman, like in most activity on the legislative floor, these things come to the committee from, in this case, members of the body who were concerned, as Senator Withem described, that there was too much activity regarding reconsideration. There are exceptions. In the process of answering your question, let me just say this. For example, on Final Reading it would take 30 votes to reconsider but in some cases to get the bill passed it would take 25. That does not change. And some other examples which were already mentioned, I won't rediscuss again. The rationale for this was simply to give us, in the limited amount of time we have on the floor, the chance to seriously consider those things that should be