

January 4, 1990

appeal. However, the question as to whether or not a vote should be reconsidered is different from the issue to be decided after the vote to reconsider has been taken. So since this is just a simple procedural motion for the purpose of positioning an issue to be voted on again, it should take no more than a majority vote by the membership. If a majority, which would be 25, are willing to have the matter reconsidered, then that reconsideration should be available. This will probably hurt far more people than it will hurt me because when I make a motion to reconsider, I don't get 25 votes anyway. I can think of a number of times when on issues that I was opposed to the issue had lost and a person wanted a vote to reconsider they wouldn't get 30 votes for the motion to reconsider but they would get the 25 and thereby they would be able to preserve the opportunity to reconsider. Once you vote to reconsider a matter, it is again before the body. A motion at that point could be made to bracket the bill, or whatever the proposition is, until a later time when whoever is seeking the reconsideration will have the votes that they need to have their proposition prevail. My only purpose in speaking this morning is in trying to make it clear that this is a substantive rule change. It will also be out of harmony with an existing rule which says that if a bill is killed in committee, it takes 30 votes to raise it. I oppose that because I said it should not take more votes to do anything on a bill than it takes to pass it. So there is no rule that says if it takes 30 votes to pass a bill, it must get 30 votes on General File, it must get 30 votes on Select if somebody asks for a machine vote. So why, in this one instance, are you going to say a vote which is not on final passage requires more votes than any other motion on that bill? If you vote to kill it and it's on General File, it doesn't even take as many votes as it would take to pass it, doesn't even take 25, a majority of those voting. Remember, if you are trying to pull a bill out of committee that has been killed, it takes 30 votes, more than the number required to pass it. I don't think this rule is one that ought to be adopted. If it is adopted, it will play into my hands more than anybody else's. But, at the time that it does so, I want to be able to refer back to this day when I brought to everybody's attention what is likely to happen and say, you built the net that caught you. And on this one I will ask for a record vote but I am opposed to it.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator McFarland, please, followed by Senator Hall.