But if we can at least move on this issue, the other question about holding the bill up next session, next session it will have to wait again until the end of the year before we can act on it and really we gain not very much by that action. So, for one would like to see us pass the bill this year for whatever level of funding fits into the budget and at least when we go back into next session the budget can understanding that we need to take care of this problem to some degree and start working toward a solution of the financing aspect of this. I know there is a lot of frustration on the floor. I won't take any more time but, again, I reiterate my strong support for this, my feeling of disappointment, deep disappointment that we didn't act yesterday to act on this measure. It is the greatest disappointment I've had this year, the greatest disappointment I've had in all my years in the Legislature and until we resolve this problem it will continue to be the one sore point that I guess I'll continue to have until we take care of this, that will really, I think, drive me to continue to bring the issue before you. So I would ask your indulgence and support for Senator Schmit's motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator McFarland.

SENATOR McFARLAND: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, fellow senators, after yesterday's vote to delay consideration on LB 272A until next year I was deeply distressed. I felt extremely ambivalent about it. I felt very strongly that Senator Landis had made every effort he could to try and get that bill passed and through his efforts and the efforts of Senator Warner and the rest of us we had been able to put together a bill that got 26 votes to reimburse the depositors at the institutions, and I appreciated the fact that some of the senators were indicating they would not vote for the bill Final Reading and that, certainly, the choice of laying it over to next year to live and fight another day, as was said by Senator Landis, could not be really criticized because the reality of the matter was that the votes were probably not there. But then over the evening and thinking about the matter, it troubles me extremely that the State Securities, Commonwealth and now American Savings, that whole issue has always been bartered against some other issue. Every year it seems like that issue is contingent upon another issue passing and you have to choose between one or the other. That issue concerning reimbursement of the victims at those institutions has never been addressed on its own merits, disregarding its impact on