

May 24, 1989

LB 813

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: ...would like an attempt to override and I guess I will give them that opportunity, but I guess I am passing word that I am probably not going to vote for any at all in the future.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, again it is for information, it is not much money \$25,000 - \$26,000, but if, as I would understand the motion, if it is overridden the money will be expected to be spent for the purpose that is outlined in the budget bill as has been explained by Senator Coordsen. Since it does not add any money, obviously there will be a like dollar amount at the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources that will have to be reallocated. We will, in effect, be directing the Institute to reallocate this amount of money from however it may have been used for this purpose. Secondly is, that part of legislative oversight, there is an appropriation process the following year is to make sure those kinds of things are followed, which also will be done. So with all of these kinds of motions, I have no idea, nor none of us can, what will not be done when we direct expenditure without additional funds. But obviously there will be something that we will be directing reallocation on.

CLERK: Senator Langford.

SENATOR LANGFORD: Call the question.

CLERK: Thank you, it won't be necessary since Senator Warner was the last one, but.... Senator Coordsen, would you like to close on your motion please.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. President. I was a little bit disturbed there for a second when you called on Senator Langford, that she had forgotten how to make the motion, but I'm glad that she did and we can move this along. I would re-emphasize that this is an intent language. I think that Senator Rod Johnson and Senator Warner explained their positions. I do feel that we do have a window of opportunity here. It does not increase the dollar expenditure per se in the budget. It is quite probable or possible that there might be some means of other funding. It is a two-year program. That is all that it is. And our opportunity to do this is not going to be here two years from now, I'm sure. So it is a serious