

notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, please?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, I will be very brief. This amount of money is money which is needed in order to qualify for \$5.5 million of federal share. We have to put up \$1,470,000 of state money, locals have to put of \$980,000, and this money is involved for work with the Papio Missouri River Natural Resources District, this specific item, and it is one which I believe is very important to that community, that area, but it involves, as I said, matching money for federal funds. Now there are many times on this floor we've heard this story, and I do not expect you to be swayed by that in this particular instance except that it is my understanding, and if anyone here has other information to the contrary, that the Papio Missouri River Natural Resources District will not be able to do this project if they do not get this money at this time. I do not know if the money will be available the...in the succeeding year or not. The money is to complete the Big Papio channel project from Center to "L" Street, and those of you who live in that area are much more familiar with it than I am. It is not a small item, I recognize that. It is a very significant item and I recognize also that there are differing points of view, but I believe the fact that the local government entity will put almost \$1 million into it and the feds will put in \$5,550,000, without which the project cannot be completed, lends some special credibility to this proposal. I ask that the veto in this particular instance be overridden for that purpose, and thank you very much.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I just thought maybe I ought to make a reminder, not talking for or against the override, but I was sitting back here during the morning having a little time on my hands and I was adding things up and I noticed that the vetoes were about a 1.9 million of floor adds and about 9.7 million of things that the Appropriations Committee had put in which, obviously, I think is right. But then I thought...I sometimes get criticized about my more general personal attitude and that is that current state operations and current aid programs probably ought to have a priority over new ones and, of course, the new ones are, by and large, what's included in the bills that were passed yesterday