

state. We gave back \$10 million. So if there was a fudge factor, it was given back. As a matter of fact, that same year that we passed 773 we put some extra funds in, extra tax ramifications that helped the elderly...or the following year. So we actually had given back more than that. This bill suggests that we give back the rest, the inadvertent increase. It's a simple issue. To the bracket motion now, I'll say, it's going to kill the bill. There is no guarantee that the Governor is going to bring back LB 813 before the end of this session, or LB 814. She doesn't need to. She can sit on that bill till Thursday, I believe, and veto anything she wants and pass anything she wants, we don't even have a chance to react. Now if you want to take that chance, that's fine. Personally, I would prefer to see the tradition of the main-line budget bills come back to us to have us have a chance to override or sustain vetoes that the Governor picks out. But this is an obvious attempt to kill the bill. If that's what you want to do, then vote for the bracket. If you would prefer to vote in favor of LB 739 and make a tax cut to the people that I believe is a pledge to the people that we made back in 1986, then you will vote against the bracket, you will vote against the motion to return to put another Section 2 and what other amendments may come up there, because if we don't read this bill, we're not going to have a chance to pass LB 739. If we wait until the bracket motion is instituted, it could we would have no chance to pass 739 whatsoever. I would urge against the bracket motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, you know, now in many ways we're getting to the crux of the matter on some of the people's opposition to this bill. The reason a lot of people do not want this bill to pass is because they want to spend it on items in LB 814 or 813 or things like that. That's what been some of the opposition to this bill all year long. There are some things that those state programs would be better than giving the money...this money, changing the tax system and giving the money back to the income taxpayer. Now this bracket motion, in my opinion, is a larger slap in the face to the taxpayer than the motion to strike the enacting clause. You're saying that you are, indeed, the lowest priority this session. Once we bought off on that total bloated state budget, you know, you're the last thing there. Now, including LB 84, which is one of those things I must include that, but this is one of those