

May 19, 1989

LB 357A, 357

SENATOR NELSON: All right. I just move the amendment be moved.

PRESIDENT: Very good. Thank you. Any further discussion? If not, the question is the adoption of the Nelson amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. We're voting on the adoption of the Nelson amendment. (Gavel.) Since several of you were absent, sure appreciate the...your help, if you're inclined to vote. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Nelson's amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Nelson amendment is adopted. Senator Nelson, would you like to readvance your bill.

SENATOR NELSON: I just move for readvancement of the bill.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. Anything else on it, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Nothing on LB 357, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: All right. LB 357A.

CLERK: Senators Nelson and Schellpeper would move to return the bill for a specific amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nelson, please.

SENATOR NELSON: Yes, this is on the fiscal note of the bill. I think, if any of you want to turn back to the original discussion of the bill, at the time I did not really stand up here and oppose the fiscal note because it should, of course, carry anything to extremes in amount. But, if you will go back to my discussion on the floor, I said it was almost absolutely impossible for the fiscal note, for the first year, to be as great as it was originally brought down to me. It was originally \$470,072, and I said that it...I just couldn't see how it could possibly be that because there were not that many nurses in training now. And the provision of the bill, there could not be that many nurses move out and collect the incentive for serving in the rural area, because we didn't have that many in training. So they have reduced. And, incidentally, I didn't even ask them to do that for me, but I appreciate this. They have reduced the fiscal note down to \$249,175 this year, and for