

May 19, 1989

LB 272A

not true. Most of the counties in the state had some losses here. It's kind of interesting that these individuals who suffered these losses were the frugal kind of people, people who traditionally save and try to plan for their future. I especially appreciate the Attorney General's Opinion which emphasized that the integrity and the credit of the state, the good word, so to speak, of the state is at stake here. I think under those conditions any of us were justified in casting a yes vote, and I want to thank all of you who did.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Morrissey, please, followed by Senator Wesely, Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Question.

PRESIDENT: No, I can't do that, we've only had one against and four for.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: I would like to yield a little bit of my time to Senator Goodrich, then.

PRESIDENT: To whom?

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Senator Goodrich, would you like some of Senator Morrissey's time?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Senator Landis, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Senator Landis, please.

SENATOR GOODRICH: In looking at the Lindsay amendment, we were trying to figure out what would happen to the real estate assets of Commonwealth in the event that they were paid off with the full 30,000, each depositor, in other words. I don't really think he's quite covered the fact that those assets would transfer to the state. But also, even if there was not sufficient assets in, I'm sorry, sufficient money in the appropriation to pay the full 30,000 off, then those people that did not get the full 30,000 would still have the claim on the assets, the real estate. Third category was those that had over 30,000, they would still have claim on those assets and the state would still come behind all that. I don't think there is