

it then, and I would support it. And I just wish with all my heart that I could support Senator Landis in this attempt. I supported him to recall it or to reconsider it, to bring it up before us, to suspend the rules, that's it, thank you. But I just can't vote for it, and it just really hurts that I can't. I hope that we can do this next year, first of the line, and let's take care of all these depositors. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Moore, would you like to speak on the suspension? I'm sorry, there is an amendment on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Lindsay would move to amend the Landis et al. amendment. (Lindsay amendment is on pages 2580-81 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay, please.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. President and members. The amendment, I believe it's going to be getting passed around here, is a...the intent of the amendment is just to make it, hopefully, a little bit easier for people to vote, I guess, for the Landis amendment. I was somewhat, and I guess still I'm somewhat torn on whether to vote for it or not. I've got some...I think there's strong arguments that go both ways. But one of the arguments that has come up and if the Landis amendment is indeed adopted, one of the concerns has been they keep coming back, they keep coming back, are they going to come back? What this amendment is designed to do is to accomplish three things. Number one, this will require that that amount paid to the depositor, if accepted by the depositor, would constitute a release of any claim based on the guaranty, including any claim for interest. It makes it clear that they won't be coming back for interest if they accept what I think the Commonwealth people and the...I should say the industrial savings people have said is a compromise, this makes it clear that it is indeed a compromise. Number two, it provides that by failing to accept the amount that is offered by the state, that is this amount that we're saying, that constitutes a release of the state from any obligation under the guaranty, under the terms of the act. And, finally, number three, while I don't know if it's possible, as long as we're doing this at this time, we should make it complete and that is it would provide a subrogation interest for the state and that is, by accepting payment, the depositor to the extent of the amount paid would be