

May 19, 1989

LB 84, 213, 525, 695

needs to be made to the bill and it takes two minutes, it would helpful, I suspect, if those could be handled some time during the course of the day. I'm not suggesting to do it now, but you might have someone just check to see what kind of amendments are pending because I know there is one or two, they are just a technical amendment that needs to be done, there is nothing controversial about them.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. These are bills presently residing on Select File or...

SENATOR WARNER: Either Select File or there might be some on Final Reading, I don't know.

SPEAKER BARRETT: On Final as well.

SENATOR WARNER: It's just a case that we won't want a flawed appropriation because a technical amendment had not been adopted, that's all I'm talking about.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. We will take a look, thank you, appreciate that. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, if I might, one quick interruption, LB 213 and LB 695 were reported correctly engrossed. (See page 2575 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, the Legislature last considered LB 525 on May 17. At that time, Senator Withem had an amendment pending. The Legislature was considering that. Senator Scofield then moved to bracket the bill, Mr. President. That motion prevailed. Consequently, Senator Withem's amendment that is on page 2497 is now pending. Senator, this amendment would strike 18 million and insert 98 million.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, we will...let me talk about that just a second. This amendment was filed the other day when LB 84 was still property of the Legislature and was...the number \$98 million was in that bill so under the theory that a little state aid does a little bit of good, I filed this amendment that a lot of state aid would do a lot of good and see where people were coming from on that whole thing, and maybe it makes as much sense that there is \$98 million out in the budget as opposed to