

now. If LB 611 comes and we use...and this body and the other lobbies throughout the state wish to use 89 as a vehicle to use for distribution of state aid, that will happen anyway whether we put a sunset or not because the idea is out there and you don't destroy an idea by simply putting a sunset on it. I think Senator Withem said an important point in the beginning is that really on most legislative bills on appropriation matters dealing with money that we have and in this deal we're dealing with money is that there isn't a sunset technically because every year it is going to have to come back, excuse me, every two years it's going to have to come back and fight for more funding like everything else and this body will have to look at it in two years and see if we're going to keep this same amount of funding, to go with more funding, or to reduce the funding. We'll have to do that. It is the same thing as what is going to happen on Senator Moore's, because if we have a sunset, we'll come back at the end of the time period and we'll have to decide, are we going to take away the sunset, are we going to take away the sunset, put more money in, are we going to delete the program? Nothing changes with the addition of this amendment. The psychology doesn't change, the mood doesn't change, the effect on LB 611 doesn't change because the idea is still there and, Senator Moore, as Plato and Aristotle taught us a long time ago, an idea is there and you can't take it away by saying we're just not going to do it anymore, the idea will always be there. And the sad thing maybe is the body is aware of the idea now, and once you become aware of that, you cannot stop it. So the idea will always be there, Senator Moore, sunset or no sunset. So I would ask that the body not put the sunset on simply because there is no need for it at this particular point. Thank you. By the way, is there any time left?

SPEAKER BARRETT: One and a half minutes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I'd like that on the record, please.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I would like to speak one more time. Senator Withem made a comment that actually was a rather fundamental state aid issue, it's one I've thought about a great deal over the past few years, and that is general aid versus categorical aid. As we have heard discussions the last few days on state aid, there have been some...probably not too accurate