

other eight are just single lights in the back. So my point of order is, is it absolutely necessary that we sit here tonight underneath those lights, which bother us to no end, when I think it's kind of ridiculous we come down here, spend the time doing our job, we don't want to change the structure of this building. But yet we're willing to change it for those lights. So I'm just asking, do we have to sit here and endure that light?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Well, I'm a little concerned perhaps that it comes up at this point in time.

SENATOR HABERMAN: If you say yes, Mr. President, I'm going to ask you why.

SPEAKER BARRETT: We have been, as you know Senator Haberman, consulting with the members of the television society. Perhaps we can ask them if they would decrease the intensity just a bit. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, proceed to LB 89.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first motion I have with respect to LB 89 is by Senator Lynch. Senator, this is amendment...your motion is to return, your amendment is on page 1100, Senator.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lynch, please.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President and members, just so you understand, I intend to withdraw that motion. Like all of us who have been here, we all know by now that this is one of those bills that has created a lot of interest. And I offered the amendment early, not knowing for sure how many, if any amendments would be offered on Final. I do, though, want to take the opportunity, though, to mention, while I have the time, in withdrawing my amendment what has been happening with this legislation. As you all know, we started off with \$150 million in four phases. We took off the property tax relief of \$50 million, that is obviously what is incorporated and more in LB 84. Then the third phase was taken off, it had to do with incentive pay because it was not only costly, but there was some concern and conflict with how that could be equitably distributed. The people who are involved with this effort were also aware of the smaller school districts and the unique problems they would have meeting the initial \$18,000 salary originally identified in the bill. But there were also some people from the smaller districts that were concerned about what would happen in the four years after this bill was in effect and