

May 18, 1989

LB 211
LR 220

about how they raped a 21-year-old. A 17-year-old would qualify, the way 211 is written, or a 92-year-old, those individuals walk because the statute of limitation has run. I mean I agree that this is a substantive issue. But when we look at these type of substantive issues, and we make these types of changes,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...that LB 211 would, you can't look at it in the narrow scope that you talk about and say no other amendments or no other issues that fall within the parameters of this law can't be touched. I would urge the body to pay great attention to the change that LB 211 is making and weigh it in comparison to some of the other provisions that have no statute and basically have no good reason to have unlimited statute. There are very few reasons have been given here this afternoon. I would respectfully withdraw the amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have nothing further on the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that LB 211 be advanced to E & R for Engrossment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Shall LB 211 be advanced to E & R for Engrossing? Board vote has been requested. Those in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 4 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance LB 211.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The bill is advanced. Mr. Clerk, to LB 588.

CLERK: Mr. President, may I read two items for the record?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Certainly.

CLERK: Mr. President, new resolution, LR 220. (Read.) That will be laid over. Mr. President, Senator Warner has amendments