

monitoring systems and more intensive testing. You're going out there, you've a two to three to four thousand gallon tank in the ground and you're taking a wooden stick and sticking it down in the ground and measure, and then reading off of that stick as to how many gallons of gas you've got in that tank. That is far from a very scientific method and it's very easy to miss a small leak that may have been going on for years contaminating a lot of ground and it's very hard to tell if that was going on. I simply say it again that the bill is very important. I think we can get it passed with Senator Warner's amendment and with Mr. Landis...Senator Landis's amendment, and we can override a veto if that should come up, and now we're not even sure if that will come up. It's very important that we do this. You must realize that if we don't make this bill...pass this bill this year, we're going to have a lot of problems out in the country and I think Senator Warner's amendment does make it more fair and equitable to all people. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Ashford, please, followed by Senator Elmer. The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. And the question is, shall debate cease? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Debate has ceased. Senator Warner, to close, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, and members of the Legislature, I would first like to thank Senator Ashford for the reconsideration motion and I did not know you were going to do that, and Senator Morrissey for his words. I spoke maybe a bit harsh a time or two during this, for me, I feel strongly about this issue on an equity basis and I would appreciate the consideration of the body once again on the amendment, and I would give the balance of my time to Senator Schmit.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members, Senator Landis asked me to reassert again my position and my opinion as to the manner in which individuals who are impacted by the Warner amendment would participate in the bill. He said he thought it was of some concern, is of some concern to the administration and