

May 18, 1989

LB 289

think we had 32 people check in, it's extremely difficult to get 25 votes out of 49. I have a tough time with that normally. It's tough to get 25 out of 40 if everyone is here today. But it's impossible to get 25 out of 32 and so I would hope that if some of you are in your offices and not up here today, this is an important bill, ladies and gentlemen, extremely important. They're important amendments. And so I would hope that you would come forward and vote on this bill. I hope you would support the amendment. I think that, as I indicated earlier, I accepted the Landis amendment because I'm not sure. I wish I could stand here and tell you I'm positive. I'm not positive. You're not going to be faulted, ladies and gentlemen, for your vote for this amendment because...if it turns out to be more expensive than we expected. I do not expect it to be, but I think that we're going to see some positive benefits from the passage of this bill and we're going to be...it's going to be with us a long time. We can't turn our back to it. And so I would ask you to support the Warner amendment. And, remember, no credit for previous expenditures. Starting out with dollar zero, they've got to spend 25,000 and then the exposure is the same as for a new entity. I hope you support the Warner amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman, please. Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Hefner.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, Senator Schmit, could I ask you a couple of questions?

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, Senator.

SENATOR HEFNER: The amendment that we're on now is AM1757? Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I don't know, Senator. I don't have it before me.

CLERK: No, Senator, we...excuse me, Senator. We're back considering Senator Warner's amendment, AM1818.

SENATOR HEFNER: Yes, but isn't that an amendment to the Schmit amendment?