

May 17, 1989

LB 84

not going to receive the amount that they would be getting.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, they will. They'll get the same amount. It just means that the state...it will be coming from the State Treasury instead of from the pockets of the property owners.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what you're telling me is that the money, which is going to be given to these property taxpayers in the form of relief, was not put into the coffers by those property taxpayers, but by all of the taxpayers together. Is that right?

SENATOR LAMB: Well, yes, but I would...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR LAMB: ..show you that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, that's all right.

SENATOR LAMB: ..property taxes are...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no, no.

SENATOR LAMB: ..too high.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. He's going to say property taxes are too high. All taxes are too high. We all know that. But if the relief is to come, it should go...come to all, and we know that's not being done. I'm not going to take my full five minutes because I don't want to. Hi, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Thank you. (Laugh)

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question is the Chambers... the adoption of the Chambers motion to reconsider the rule suspension. Those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: 14 ayes, 29 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion fails.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next motion I have on the bill is by Senator Withem. Senator Withem would move to return the bill for specific amendment. (The Withem amendment appears on