

May 17, 1989

LB 761A, 761

without charge to the local monitoring committee, but also definitely would not be a "compensable" factor to the conservation and survey. So it was very, very important, I believe, that we recognize that we do not want to receive any inquiry or any I guess any deficit appropriation bill for Conservation Survey relative to activity out there. The position that we are in today I think is one which probably requires that there be broad understanding between all of us relative to the responsibilities of conservation and survey, and I would I guess, if Senator Warner concurs, support the advancement of the bill to Select File. And, if there is any disagreement,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: ...from conservation and survey as to the statements that Senator Warner and myself have made now, then we could debate it further on Select File. If not, then if we would kill LB 761 on Select File that should, in fact, be the sufficient intent of the Legislature that there be no monetary commitment in this regard. So, at this point, I guess, unless someone disagrees, I would allow or support the bill be advanced and with the understanding that it may be killed on Select File, if it's generally agreed that we do not need it.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Schmit, your light is the next light. Would you care to make any other comment?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, just to repeat again that it was not the intent of myself that the Conservation Survey do any more than to provide counseling and technical assistance to the local monitoring committee. Conservation Survey was not supposed to be actually involved in any sampling, coring or any seismographic work, any drilling, anything of that nature. They are not in a position to do so for the monitoring committee. The monitoring committee is not really...is not authorized to do that either. And the contractor is responsible for that. So, I just wanted to be sure that any activity carried on by the Conservation Survey not be considered to be a "compensable" service which would come back to the Legislature during the 1990 session in the form of a deficit appropriations bill. If this is the concurrence of Conservation Survey, then we can perhaps...we can definitely kill the bill on Select File. If it is not the concurrence, then we need to discuss it further, because there should be no expense relative to Conservation