

May 17, 1989

LB 198

think there has been a reinterpretation of the rules by the Department of Revenue which has resulted in basically a change in the sales tax structure without the Legislature's involvement. There are organizations which have...historically, which have traditionally, I guess, had not paid the sales tax because that was always the understanding that...that...how the system was supposed to work are going to be hit now for sales tax because of a new reinterpretation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you root out these kinds of issues whenever they might occur to make sure that there is a rectification, as in this particular case?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Do I root out the issues?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you hunt for them? Seek them out? Search for them, quest after them?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Senator Chambers, where there is injustice, I follow in your footsteps and go looking for the justice.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Zorro. I'm going to ask Senator Hall a question. I'm going to ask Senator Hall a question.

SENATOR HALL: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hall, who is hurt if this amendment is not adopted?

SENATOR HALL: The...as far as I know, Senator Chambers, the only folks that would be hurt would possibly be the people who buy annual passes and belong and have voting rights at the zoo. I mean, and I say that purely on a parochial nature because it happens to be in my district.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm glad you straightened out what you meant by parochial because I didn't want you to start us discussing something else.

SENATOR HALL: Well, you know, a week ago if...we could read about that in the paper as well too if we got into that issue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I want it noted that he and I are both laughing and smiling. But, Senator Hall, here's what I'm really trying to get to. If this amendment were not adopted, are there