

support Senator Kristensen and in hopes that we could support LB 89 also. I don't know, there will have to be some other cuts somewhere and I do realize that, maybe in capital construction or so on. The LB 89 is a direct cost to our school districts, a direct increase in property tax. You're kidding yourself if you don't do something for state aid in that particular area and I will be supporting Senator Kristensen. I have a few figures brought to me from the Grand Island...

SENATOR LAMB: Time, Senator.

SENATOR NELSON: ...school district.

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Schimek, followed by Senator Baack and nine other speakers.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Mr. President and members of the body, I would like to address a couple of questions to Senator Kristensen, but before I do, Senator Chambers, I've always thought that once you've eaten the cake you no longer have the cake and to me that's what, you can't have your cake and eat it too. Yes. Well, you do have it, yes. Senator Kristensen, I'm in a real dilemma as I think a lot of people on the floor are, and I got up a little while ago and spoke about the fact that I thought that real meaningful property tax relief would be state aid back to the local subdivisions. And I would very much like to support this bill, but how can I? If we support this bill, what is that going to do to LB 89, first question?

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Kristensen, will you respond.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, I will and, of course, the easiest way to support this is to push your green button, but the way that it...what it will do to 89, is that 89 is a very good bill. I plan to support LB 89. I don't want to take money away from LB 89 and I want people like Senator Lynch to feel comfortable about that.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Do you have assurances that that's the way other people on the floor feel? I mean, the problem we're in here is that we only have one vote, we don't have 49 votes. We don't seem to be able to make that process work in our own personal-decision making. The second question I have for you is if we support this bill and we support LB 89, does that mean that we don't support LB 84?