appropriated to the building division, DAS, as a part of a program with the Attorney General's Office. And the proposal, as it was stated then, was to use a \$100,000 of the 309 funds that is in LB 814. It would be...instead of 3 million it would be two million nine. And the reason we did this is that a portion of those funds, in the Governor's budget at least, was earmarked for asbestos removal and at least this amendment is consistent with that same policy as the original capital construction bill was introduced by the Governor. So this was explained by Senator Moore this morning and this is the companion to that and I would move its adoption.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any discussion on the amendment? If not, the question is the adoption of the Warner amendment to LB 814. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, please.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Schmit would move to amend. (The Schmit amendment appears on page 2436 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, I just want to take about 30 seconds. I don't want to endanger Senator Dierks' proposal up there in his district on that \$345,000 dam. I just want call attention to the fact that it has increased in cost about 50 percent since the last time it was taken out of the budget. Senator Dierks and I have discussed it. The project...many of the requirements for that project are overdone, they're not necessary, but that's the way the system works. Some of those are our responsibility, I suppose, because of the fact that we the imposition of controls, regulations, encouraged et cetera, that don't make any sense. Just want to make one more observation. I have been told that probably if that's let for bids it will come in considerably under the 345,000 and, hopefully, it will do so. But I just think it's unfortunate that in a day and age when a project ought to cost 35,000. that's what the contractors say it ought to cost, we're going to spend 345,000 because of the fact that a whole series of rules and regs dealing with the construction of the dam have made it