

professional staff that is already manning them. We have computers that are tied into Lincoln through the terminals, we have stand alone computers, we have libraries, we have economic informational data for those...each one of those four regions by virtue of feasibility studies, site surveys, manpower opportunities within the communities in those rural areas and the like already on location. I think, if we had the 58,750 then dedicated to a DED person, he could then use all he would really need for any extensive support by being in those locations would be to have the travel money, the personal expenses that are associated with his traveling around and working those areas as they intended. And I think at that point that individual could probably be able to accomplish something. There is probably at least three senators that naturally would vote against this if they take on the parochial view that they would like this center where it's been previously identified that it would probably be. I do not expect Senator Byars', for instance, to vote for this because one at Beatrice was named as a site. Senator Peterson, I think, will vote for this, even though his community was named as a site. Senator Bernard-Stevens, I assume, because North Platte was named as a site, may not vote for this. I would guess I would like to hear the logic of any of the others. Senator Langford probably won't vote for it, but I haven't figured out why because she would win either way, there is a NBDC site in Kearney, and Kearney was also named as a site, it would be simply putting it on the campus location rather than somewhere else in the community and spending extra state dollars to do that. The other concern I have is by naming sites and putting these sites in that parochial view that may come down is that I was talking to Roger Kristensen a little bit ago on this concept, and he talked about the various offers they had, lots of communities that would like to have a DED person permanently located in their community. The reason for that, and the only real reason for that is that \$58,000 is not going to revolutionize any community in the State of Nebraska, if they thought that was all going to turn over in their community. The reason for that is basically, I think, for these communities to try to have their hocks and have a direct line into DED. And I can't blame them for that, but by the same token we're in a situation where by putting the Nebraska Business Development Centers, those sites are not site specific. Those sites are independent units that are working in their entire region that show no preferential treatment for anything that goes on, and I think that would add to that nonparochial view by having these people out in the region. So, with that, I