May 16, 1989

LB 813

to leave the money in there to go ahead and take a close look at this project and, hopefully, the state will take this as a project, and that we will preserve the Joslyn Castle. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Lynch, your time to talk.

SENATOR LYNCH: Question.

PRESIDENT: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do, and the question is, shall debate cease? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, to cease debate.

PRESIDENT: Debate has ceased. Senator Haberman, are you going to close, or is Senator Labedz? Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, this whole issue started when I handed out a sheet from the Appropriations Committee booklet, and it says there is included in the appropriation to this program \$50,000 General Fund for facility maintenance and planning activities related to the Joslyn Castle. That started the whole thing because it says, maintenance and planning facilities. Also in the appropriations book, it says none of the state funds appropriated in this section shall be used for Varner Hall, former Regents Hall, since it is not owned by the state, not owned by the state. started the whole issue because the Appropriations That Committee says in this you can't use state funds. Now I have got a feeling and Senator Labedz has feeling that we are going to lose the fight this morning. I have been in contact with the Attorney General's Office, I asked for an opinion, would it be constitutional to use this \$50,000 in this manner. It is being They told me as soon as Mr. Crump and Mr. Spire written now. comes to the office and looks at it and signs it, I will get the So, opinion. therefore, if the opinion says it is constitutional, I will forget the issue and I am sure Senator Labedz will also. If it says it is not constitutional, then that is another story. So we are going to vote on the issue so can reconsider the issue depending upon that letter. Again, I if the letter says it is constitutional, I will withdraw the reconsideration motion and the issue is over. If it says it is not constitutional, then we will decide what to do at that time. So at this time I am going to go ahead and have a vote, and then