

or recreation area or whatever, we understand we're diminishing in your eyes the value of your community, here is some compensation to make some kind of offsetting remark, and that pot of compensation is dependent on an affirmative vote. You've structured this thing to fail. You've structured this thing to get five no votes, spend a long time and then have people go to court. If you want to structure this thing to have this be done with, you limit it to the three counties, you do it on the same day, you put a second compensation package that goes along with an affirmative vote and if no one will accept it, then you simply site it. Now, under those circumstances there is reason for somebody to accept the low-level nuclear waste facility plant. There is compensation, they understand it is going to be built. There is compensation gained by affirming the prospect of accepting it in your community. That is community consent. Unfortunately, if all three say no, then there is no community consent and nobody is saying we didn't do good enough. The Governor didn't do good enough. DEC didn't do good enough. The US Ecology didn't do good enough. The compact didn't do good enough, and the Legislature didn't do good enough. We allowed this for months to hang out there like a cloud to give people hope, and now we are not going to give it to them. I will tell you that I am going to vote against the "Cap" Dierks amendment because I think it is part of that idea that says we can play this out forever, doesn't mind if we disturb the compact deadlines. I am not going to play that game. I am going to build that facility in Nebraska, and if our assumptions differ on that point, that is where we close the discussion. But if we agree on that point that we are going to build the facility, then we can design a better prospect than this amendment, and we can accept it without injuring time lines. You can still meet those time lines if you put the vote on the same day in the three counties, and you have that vote forthwith. Secondly, if you make that vote contingent on a second package of compensation, which we should help come up with, perhaps you quadruple the MIRC allocation to the city in that area and you give them a bonding authority that is three or four times larger than whatever any other city of that size has in the state so that they can make whatever kinds of infrastructure changes they want. We could do that internally. Unfortunately, we have two camps, the Capulets and the Montagues. They have stopped talking to each other because they don't trust each other. They sit across the street from each other, call each other names, and have the most fantastical conspiracy theories in the world. Now, I am going to withdraw my motion. I am going to vote