

May 15, 1989

LB 744

sometimes does write their bills so that they have the appropriations built right into it. I'm not familiar enough to know exactly what other kinds of bills are on our file right now. However, I would point out that you have made mention of this idea of the cumulative effect over four years. I think it's a very good point and I don't want to take all of your time, but any time we have an appropriation for this year that is an ongoing appropriation, whether it be one employee or one program or 10 employees that you talked about, Senator Lamb, last time, it is important that we look...that it is an ongoing expenditure as opposed to a one-time shot. As a matter of fact, if you want to take it out, you could say your salary is \$100,000, as a state senator, providing you're going to be here for the next eight years.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I plan on being here the next eight years. Senator Withem, would you answer a question, please.

SENATOR WITHEM: Certainly.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Withem, was the bill written and is the bill written to include the costs of LB 744?

SENATOR WITHEM: Section 6, page 5, of the Final Reading copy of the bill contains the appropriation.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Section 6...

SENATOR WITHEM: Page 5 of the bill.

SENATOR HABERMAN: For how many years?

SENATOR WITHEM: For two years, as all of our appropriation bills are for two years.

SENATOR HABERMAN: At the end of the two years...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...then does the Appropriations Committee automatically add the 473,000 for '90-91, '91-92 and the 473,000 for '92-93, or do you come back in and ask for that fund?

SENATOR WITHEM: My understanding is...and I have my light on if we need to continue this on longer, Senator Haberman, we can do