

exactly what I thought it was. The other thing is as a member of that committee I think it should behoove yourself and other members of the committee to have worked on that in committee, instead of bringing it now to us, waiting until it is on the floor and bringing all this to our attention. You people are, many of you on that committee are attorneys. You do know the law, and that is where that should have been changed, if you were aware of it at that point in time. And before voting that out of committee some of these things should have been done. We have to rely upon you for your expertise in those areas. So then the next thing I'm going to do is ask you, because I understand now what you're saying, and I believe that this is just what I think I'm seeing here, that some of these should not have been a part of what we were talking about here in Section 2, which is really the content of the bill. Perhaps these should be removed, I don't know how you would do that, and I'm asking you to do that for us. I'll give you the remainder of my time, if you want to respond to that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, you have three minutes to talk.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, Senator Smith, the whole time, practically, that I've been on that Judiciary Committee I've been like a voice crying in the wilderness. I have no influence in that committee, I have no influence on the committee. Bills are voted out that I oppose strongly in committee, I oppose them strongly on the floor. There is no need me trying to amend them there because I can't do it, and I can't do it out here either. My record of having contention within that committee is well established from the record. And I had concerns about this bill, even in committee there were some of the prosecutors who expressed concerns. But, because it was a senators priority bill and all those other reasons that are given for advancing this kind of legislation, I guess it was advanced. I was not there when the bill was advanced. But, at any rate, these kinds of things have to be looked at the way they are written. I have an amendment up there to try to do one of the things. But the bill came up quicker than I expected it to, and I can't rewrite all of these bills. And a lot of times there is suspicion when I raise a question. But to let Senator Langford have a concrete example, I clipped this article, two days ago, from the World-Herald. A man was released, the charges against him dropped after it was established that he had raped a woman in a wheelchair. They brought the charge under a law that said, if she cannot resist