Senator Labedz had then offered an amendment, Mr. President. That amendment is pending. It is on page 2023 of the Journal.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Labedz, please. Senator Labedz, we're on your amendment.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. I don't believe I was closing on the amendment. I think you called on me to speak for five minutes, or was I closing? Was there any lights on after mine when we...

SPEAKER BARRETT: I did not consider that you were closing. I believe that you moved to recess, did you not?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes, I did. Okay, fine.
SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers.
SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, not to be argumentative and contentious, but I believe that she had been called on to close and had said a few words, then said that she moves to adjourn.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, I don't have a record at this point. I don't recall, the Chair doesn't recall that she was called on to close. The Chair does recall that there was some verbiage prior to the motion to recess which I did ignore, which is really not in order, but I did ignore it at that point. Thank you. We will assume then that you will proceed on your amendment. If you'd like to close, proceed to close.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Are there any other lights on?
SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers' ver.t on and just went off. You are closing.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you. There were many senators that were not on the floor when LB 588 first came up before noon, so I'm afraid I will have to go through the explanation of what the amendment does. It's the same amendment that $I$ offered on General File, I think at least twice. One of the times it was an amendment to the committee amendments. It was adopted and then the motion was made to reject the, or I believe it was the chairman of the committee that asked that the committee amendments be rejected, which they were, and I believe there was a reconsideration, but be it as it may, we are now amending the

