

very well, and I compliment him for that. He is a highly educated man. He can hold up this Legislature as time goes on. He has told many of you that he will hold up LB 769 and will not let it advance to Select File. He has a perfect right to do that. But after he criticized our beliefs, my belief especially, I confronted him one day in the hall and I told him if he did that again I would ask the Chair to rule him out of order. And, if the Chair did not rule him out of order, that I would challenge the Chair and hope to get 25 votes to stop this ridiculous idea that Senator Chambers has that he can hold up everything by quoting the Bible, criticizing the Catholic religion, criticizing the priests, I will not stand for it, and what's good enough for Senator Chambers is good enough for me. I have several amendments on LB 588. I'm not good at this. I've never done this in 13 years. I have never tried to stop a bill from advancing. The votes are there to advance LB 588. The votes are there to advance LB 769. So, Senator Chambers, I'm playing your own game, you can stand up and criticize me for doing it, but you taught me well. But I'm not as highly educated as you are, you have a very, very good reputation. I have defended you in my district by telling people in my district you're probably the most intelligent senator that we've ever had on the floor of this Legislature, I will continue to do that because I believe you are. But I will stand up against you, even though I don't have one-tenth the talent, the ability or the education that you have. But I stand up for my rights as well as you stand up for yours. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the amendment.
Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, as I opposed this amendment on General File and a majority opposed it there, it should be opposed at this point. There is no connection between 769 and this bill. But I told Senator Labeledz, when she indicated to me this morning what she was going to do, offer a lot of amendments, I told her that's fine. I told her I'll never move to suspend the rules so she can't offer her amendments. I told her that I would never call the question. It has never been my practice to try to cut off debate. So, if she gives you the impression that she came to me and I indicated that I was taking issue with her offering a lot of amendments, then that perception is totally in error. I told her offer as many amendments as she wanted to, that I would sit back and ride out the storm. She is the one who chose to