

Do you care to speak anymore? Thank you. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I think I will rise to support the amendment also. I think that...I think the cities themselves can limit what the...what the operator gets and so I think whether it's 15 percent or 14 percent, I think we're...you know, not a...not a big deal because the city...the city still has...the cities still have...the cities that have the lottery still have the control. So, with that, I would support the amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any other discussion? There are no other lights on. Senator Schmit, anything further?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I would just ask for the support of the amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question is the adoption of the Schmit amendment to LB 767. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Schmit's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Senator Lynch would move to amend, Mr. President. (The Lynch amendment appears on page 2268 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President and members, I apologize to Senator Schmit and anyone involved with this legislation. I just was struck with this thought a few days ago. Hasn't been published in the Journal. What it simply does it restricts the sale of lottery sales to the same and from the same facilities now that pickles can be sold from, namely, number one, bars; number two, off-sale liquor stores; and in the case of lotteries the offices of the political subdivisions, wherever they might be and however many they might be. It's about as simple as it is. I thought it might be better for enforcement purposes. It might be a form of equity as it applies to gambling and those people that are involved with pickles and with lottery sales as well. With that, I will try to answer any questions you might