

May 10, 1989

LB 767

SENATOR SMITH: Well, I suppose probably, if nothing else, we have so many things in this piece of...in this legislative body that end up going to court that that could be tested in the courts and I'm sure that they could not...they could not get by with tax at 90 percent.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Then another question. In the area of the operation itself...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: ...the County of Douglas could conduct a keno operation and they could hire 10 different operators to conduct a keno operation outside the city limits. They could conduct...they could hire that many other operators to conduct the keno operation within the city limits but they could not conduct two separate type of lottery operations. Is that right?

SENATOR SMITH: That's right. If they are playing keno...if they decide that they want to use keno as their type of lottery, then that's the game that they play and they can have operators doing that across the city.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Smith, please.

SENATOR SMITH: Are there any other lights?

PRESIDENT: Yes, three.

SENATOR SMITH: Well, I think that I will just pass then.

PRESIDENT: All right, Senator Hartnett, please, followed by Senator Langford.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President and members of the body, I simply rise to support the Senator Smith amendment because I think we really should have...we're into an area...I think we should have the same regulations and controls of the people who run and operate the lottery business as we do with liquor license. So I think...I think the amendment that Senator Smith brought to us is a good amendment. I think we have a better control. We do not allow undesirables, I guess, if you want to say, in the business. So I think I support Senator Smith's