

SENATOR SMITH: Okay. All right, and this is limited and that's what we're doing, we're allowing the existing contracts which are out now to continue until the end of that contract period. One of the things that I would just make clear to the people on the floor here is that the city is not limited to one game, Senator Conway, for your information, it is not limited to only one game. It's limited to one type of lottery. It could have 50 keno games if a large city felt it needed that many or it could do as many games of ticket lottery as they want to, rub off or pull tab. But we're limiting the types of gambling is really what it amounts to in this piece of legislation. And I guess that I would...I know that Senator Schmit feels very strongly about this issue. I know that his amendment is going to try to open it up again and I understand where he's coming from but, at the same time, I want to make sure that we all know what's coming up with that amendment. And I would say that the city can renew a contract with an existing business person. The only thing, of course, is that that business person will have to confine his operation to the kinds of things that we're allowing in this piece of legislation. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Conway, would you like to close on your motion, your amendment?

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, I think the concept has been well brought out that, in discussion, the intent, from what I understand from Senator Smith and the committee involved, was to make changes in the future but...and to allow the communities that were already operating as such, in my case and in Senator Hartnett's case, two different types of lotteries. One was the keno and the other one was scratch. They were operating and they were brought up in the intent, I believe, of the legislation to grandfather those in. Inadvertently, by picking the date that they had to be in operation by January, the second type of lottery that was being offered in my community was not officially on record until like February and that's why I moved the date to March. The intent was to include them and by virtue of the date being January they weren't included and that's...that was simply the adjustment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is the adoption of the Conway amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator