

May 10, 1989

LB 767

the State of Nebraska will determine that they probably didn't do it right, they allowed the cities and counties to usurp this form of revenue...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: ...and by that time it will probably be too late. I would just like to point out once again that in this instance, with this language, it is not the city that is being terminated in January of 1991 but it is, in fact, a business man who has made an investment in lottery equipment. And so I would support the Conway amendment but I would ask you to also support my amendment when it comes up which Senator Conway says he agrees with. And I would like to hear from Senator Smith also because I know that she has done a lot of work on this and probably has some comments on it.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Hartnett, please, followed by Senator Smith.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President and members of the body, I simply rise to support Senator Conway's amendment to this as I think that at the time as we made the changes and kind of restricted what the state or communities could do as far as the lottery was concerned is that we were...there were only two communities in it at that time and it was my community of...Bellevue was a community of South Sioux City which Senator Conway represents and we thought that January I would get both communities in. That was kind of the intent of the legislation that was drafted. I think Senator Conway actually discovered his operation didn't begin until March and so we are simply...I think the change is good and I think it still...it still restricts. It's just two operations that they are grandfathered in. So, for that reason, I would rise to support what Senator Conway's change is making.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Smith, please.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be very brief. Senator Nelson, I would just...are...do you...do you have a clarification in your...about your concerns for the grandfathering?

SENATOR NELSON: I think so. Thanks.