bit unreasonable, for example, to limit a larger city to a single lottery in the manner in which we are doing it? Why don't we allow the cities to make that determination as to what they determine is reasonable and proper for their city?

SENATOR CONWAY: I would rather, as you well know, make some adjustments in that. But since the motivation and the momentum of that bill seemed to have this mentality, I wanted to at least take care of the communities that I was aware that were already doing such and to allow them to function accordingly.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, isn't it a fact, Senator Conway, that in the situation of Bellevue, at least, it is not...it is not designed to continue into operation indefinitely the one type of lottery but rather to terminate that lottery at the end of that contractual period rather than to allow the city to determine at the end of that contract period whether or not they want to renew that lottery? And is it not, in fact, true that in Bellevue you have two separate and distinct type of operations that are conducting lotteries in two separate businesses and we are, in fact, putting out of business the one business and allowing the other to continue? Is that not true?

SENATOR CONWAY: Under this legislation that's being proposed, I believe that is true that after we get to the 1991 or 1990, I would have to look at the final date on this, but it would force them to go back to one. And what they're asking for is let us run the two until that date. Like I say, I would prefer following your line of thinking and not have that provision in the bill, period. I'm just trying to make...because that provision is there, to at least allow those that are doing it to continue to do it until the contract runs out.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Thank you, Senator Conway. I do have an amendment which would strike that language until January 1, 1991 and I will discuss it further. But I would just like to say that I believe that this Legislature would like to indicate that we really don't have lotteries in operation but, at the same time, we allow them to exist somewhat surreptitiously. Senator Nelson referred to wide open lotteries. The facts are, Senator Nelson, that we do and will have eventually a wide open city by city lottery. Several cities last night approved of lotteries and I am of the opinion that it will continue to become popular as sources of revenue for the cities. In some instances, counties will do so and in a year or two or five all of a sudden