

it's one man's opinion, so to speak, as to how this should be corrected. There are a number of other professionals in the area that feel that this is ridiculous, that this approach is one that is just basically throwing money at the problem and not addressing it and that it is, in no way, the proper or the most economical way to approach the situation. But yet we're going to go ahead and appropriate the money without probably batting an eye, although I'm going to join Senator Withem and I'm going to oppose the measure here on out because we have, I think, addressed it and, hopefully, raised enough concerns with this situation so that it doesn't happen again. But I guarantee you, as long as we continue to ante up, there will be someone there to say, me, too. And it has to stop at some point. There has to be some situation where we just put our foot down and say, look, you're just out of luck, we are not going to cover your backside. And \$3.5 million could go a long way toward establishing a fund that would allow for us to work out some kind of contractual arrangements...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...with the other pharmacy school in the state. You know, it's interesting, two years ago...or four years ago, three years ago we were talking about closing the school. Today we're talking about rebuilding it to the tune of \$3.5 million and I find it extremely interesting that now, because the money is going to be appropriated to rebuild the school, everybody is in support of keeping it open. Have the numbers gone up? Do we have additional students enrolled? Do we need more pharmacists? The answer is no to all those. But the fact of the matter is that because the money is here we're going to do it and that's probably the worst reason in the world to do it. I would urge the body to vote no to advance the bill.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Hannibal, please, followed by Senator Lynch and Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Mr. President and members, I rise to support the readvancement of the bill. We have had a lot of discussion. I...I would like to say to those who have been raising questions that I don't believe an apology is necessary at all. I think it's a good thing when we can have a lot of discussion on a major issue and especially a major funding issue. We have a lot of discussion in our committee about issues like these all the time, and by having some of our members and some other