

proposed a few years ago I don't think has anything to do with this issue. If we close down the pharmacy college tomorrow and said, anybody that wants to be a pharmacist go to Creighton or go out of the state, we would still have a physical structure there and we'd still have to decide what to do with that structure. So that ought not count...you should not vote for this because you are supportive of the...should not vote for my amendment because you want to close down the college because we're still going to have the building there to deal with. The contract, yeah, Senator Hannibal is right, we have not contracted with a contractor but it's my understanding, I was told this point blank yesterday, that once this appropriation passes, the Daly contract will be signed and Daly, the people that did the evaluation of whether we needed it, will then become the contractor, standing to gain 200 plus thousand dollars of these dollars that we're appropriating. That is a done deal. The guarantee concept is an interesting one and, again, it's...I'm not professing to be an expert in the area of construction but I think I know slightly more than most members in here. You know, in any new building that is put up, there is a warranty by the design professional that it was designed properly and the contractor that proper construction procedures were used, and if there is a failing, they will stand behind it. But there is also a concept of statute of limitations. If we find a deficiency in this building, we can't go back on the original contractor because the statute of limitations has been exceeded. So any time...if you...I guess, if you want a guarantee of state buildings, every seven years or so you have got to renovate them because that's the only way you're going to have an ongoing sort of...sort of guarantee is with having the repairs being done within the statute of limitations. There are noninvasive techniques for testing. You don't have to do the load testing that we talked about before. A point was made that it has to be repaired before it's used by students. It is being used by students now. Ideas that if we close this pharmacy school down for a year that it would take if we waited to do this testing and some other sort of things that it would devastate the program, current proposal calls for closing it down for six months. The difference between six months out of the life of this is a difference between this being a good proposal or the death of the pharmacy school, that doesn't wash. That doesn't make sense. Either proposal, you vote for 812, or if you wait and take a closer look at it, there's going to be some downtime and we're just talking about a few months one way or the other. The letter Senator Abboud mentioned, the suit for