come in and they are going to say that they need 5 million, Senator Hannibal, as you smile over there. That is my fear, I guess. Maybe, Senator Chambers, we shouldn't have this study done just for the exact reasons you stated. But I would urge the body to take a look at budgeting money for a program, for a facility that has not yet been planned, has not yet been decided,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...although much need is felt there, I guess, by the proponents of the measure. I would urge your support of the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: An amendment on the desk, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Moore would move to amend the Hartnett amendment by reinserting the language found on page 12, lines 18 through 25, and on page 13, lines 1 through 15. (The Moore amendment appears on page 2170 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members. After having Senator Chambers' vociferous voice pointed at me and his long cold finger pointed down at me, I considered it as a point, and that is the same concern I have been having, too. Quite simply what this amendment would do, it would...a vote yes for the amendment would simply reinstate all the planning money but take the $3.1 million out. So then, in turn if you adopted the amendment, all you would do is appropriate the planning money, the thought being if that plan and that study came back and said to do it, next year, obviously, you would have to come back through the appropriations process to appropriate the money. And if I am listening to a variety of speakers, I think this is an option. I think a lot of people are saying, let's look at what we need to do, but I am not ready to appropriate the $3.1 million until I know what the study says. I think that makes perfect sense and that is why I bring forth this amendment, and just to make...what it does is you would reinstate the language in Section 21, the first whole complete paragraph, and starting on page 13, lines 16 through 23 would actually remain stricken, theoretically, and so that is what it does, and this may be the answer to Senator Chambers' concern.