or group, who deals in corrections planning and so forth, do a study to see whether or not construction is needed and that outfit that did the study under no circumstances could have the contract for design, planning, or construction?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I missed the question. You are saying, would I be interested in a...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In an outside party...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: As opposed to them?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The Department of Corrections.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Well, certainly, I would take all the information that I can get in making my decisions.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think there might be an incentive for the Department of Corrections to be biased in their evaluation of this matter? With a \$3 million plum hanging over their head, and all they have to do is reach up and pluck it? Out of the tree of life I just pull me a plum.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: There would be those who would say so. I would like to think that between the correctional facilities and our office that we might be able to look at the study and find out whether their methodology was correct, their projections were correct, or at least be able to make some intelligent decisions as to the objectiveness of it. Obviously, there is always the possibility that sometimes studies are geared to come to a predetermined conclusion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I wouldn't presume that that would be the case here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I would. Members of the Legislature, somebody, in talking about Oliver North and that problem, said when you give individuals large amounts of money and power and allow them to operate in secret, you see the kind of problems that were produced through the Iran-contra affair. When you dangle before a Corrections Department or any agency of government \$3 million that has already been appropriated, and then tell them, justify me in appropriating that money. I am