rehabilitation of existing structures. It talks about the methodology that they use to arrive at the population projections, and how this thing would work out, what its daily cost would be, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, now being a man of the world, and I am not going to tell on you but I will tell on myself, I have wagered on sporting events on occasion and will probably do so again when football season comes.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Not me. (Laughter)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: At least you won't tell it to a reporter, but after the way they can praise people for betting on that horse race, I don't see anything wrong with betting on a sporting event at all, but here is the point that I want to get to. Would you, if you were a betting person, would you lay a wager as to what the outcome of the Department's study is going to be? Do you think they are going to find, after their study, that these alternative methods will do away with the need for further construction or do you think they are going to show that additional construction is needed?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: If I were a wagering person anymore, I would suggest that probably we would find a need for alternative placement, such as high intensive probation, in-house detention monitoring procedures, and also find a need for construction, because of the current projections for inmate population.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if the current projections is such that there is additional construction that you know...wait a minute, do you believe that additional construction is going to be found to be needed after this study?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Based on what I have seen so far, yes?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why give them the \$10,000?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Because we, as a committee, are just as apprehensive as the people on the floor here in spending large amounts of dollars for construction unless we are absolutely sure that it is needed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you be willing to let an effort be undertaken to determine some agency, and by that, or individual